



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,694	09/30/2003	Michael Brines	10165-027-999	7980

7590 02/11/2009  
FREDERICK J. HAMBLE, ESQ.  
712 KITCHAWAN ROAD  
OSSINING, NY 10562

EXAMINER
----------

LI, RUIXIANG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1646

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/11/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/676,694	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BRINES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RUIXIANG LI	<b>Art Unit</b> 1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RUIXIANG LI. (3) Michael L. Brines.

(2) Eileen Falvey. (4) Anthony Cerami; (5) Frederick Hamble.

Date of Interview: 02/05/2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jubinsky et al. (Blood 90:1867-1873, 1997; Mercury<sup>TM</sup> Pathway Profiling System User Manual (Clontech, March 2, 2001).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The scope of enablement rejection and the prior art rejections were discussed. Applicants would consider submitting an amendment to substantiate their argument.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ruixiang Li/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646	
--	--